

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/910,601	07/20/2001	Don I. Falkenstein	Falkenstein118	2182	
7590 06/30/2005			EXAM	INER	
James W. Wiegand 204 Washington Street, #4 Marblehead, MA 01945			NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	5	

0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)	
	•	Application	11 140.		
		09/910,60	1	FALKENSTEIN E	Г AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Brian D. No		2661	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
THE I - External from the control of	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the middle patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statu- iod will apply and will	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da l expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	0 July 2001.			
•	·	This action is no	on-final.		
3)□	the state of the s				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ acc the drawing(s) b rrection is require	e held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		٠.
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ireau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this Nationa	I Stage
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		'O-152)

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 16-17 and 21-39 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 Claim 21, line 2, it is suggested to insert --comprising the steps of-- after "switching traffic".

Claims 16-17 and 35-36, the specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "the controller is responsible to a port access identifier by retrieving network equipment information from the access identifier" in claim 16; "the controller is responsible to a tributary access identifier by retrieving network equipment information from the access identifier" in claim 17; "the controller retrieves network equipment information from a port access identifier" in claim 35; and "the controller retrieves network equipment information from a tributary access identifier" in claim 36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 3, "may include one or more port tributaries" is unclear if the port tributaries are included or not. It is suggested to replace "may include" to --includes--.

Art Unit: 2661

Claim 21, line 5, "may include one or more port tributaries" is unclear if the port tributaries are included or not. It is suggested to replace "may include" to --includes--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arslan et al (6,707,789).

Regarding claim 1, Arslan discloses an integrated telecommunications network element (see col. 3, lines 38-40) comprising: a plurality of add/drop-multiplexers (see ADM 104, 124, 115 of figure 3), each multiplexer having one or more communications ports (ports 21-26 of figure 2), each port carrying communications traffic that may include one or more port tributaries (see col. 1, lines 39-41); a digital cross-connect (see DCS in figures 3 and 4) configured to route communications traffic among the tributaries; and a controller (110) configured to create one or more logical tributaries between the digital cross-connect and the ports by mapping one or more port tributaries into a logical tributary (see figure 1 for details of a DCS, figure 2 for details of an ADM, figure 3 ADM and DCS are integrated, and figure 4 where the controller 110 can control both the ADM and DCS).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 2, Arslan discloses the controller is configured to route working traffic from a port through a logical tributary to the digital cross-connect (see figure 3 where traffic from rings 10, 11, and 12 are routed through logical tributaries to the digital cross connect (DCS)).

Regarding claims 21-22, claims 21-22 are method claims that have substantially the same limitations as the respective apparatus claims 1-2. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-6, 8-10, 12-15, 18-20, 23-25, 27-29, 31-34, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arslan in view of McAllister et al (6,697,329).

Regarding claims 3-6, 8-10, 12-15, and 18-20, Arslan discloses the controller (110) determining the status/state of ports/paths and provisioning cross-connection and working/protection ports/paths so that any port that connected to a line interface unit within the integrated DCS (including ADMs and DCS as shown in figure 3) to be coupled within the integrated DCS to any other port that is connected to a line interface unit within the integrated DCS and when a working path/port fails, the data packets will be automatically rerouted to a protection path/port (see col. 2, lines 13-29; col. 4, lines 17-31 & 54-59). Arslan also discloses

Art Unit: 2661

the provision can be performed by a user (administrator) (see col. 7, lines 19-24). Arslan does not specifically disclose the user provision working/protection paths/ports. However, McAllister discloses that the user can provision paths/ports/cross-connection by manual entry, manual with point-and-click assistance, or automatic route generation with optional manual editing (see col. 3, lines 28-41 and col. 7, line 55-col. 8, line 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provision the paths and ports as taught by McAllister in the system of Arslan in order to improve the provision flexibility.

Regarding claims 23-25, 27-29, 31-34, and 37-39, claims 23-25, 27-29, 31-34, and 37-39 are method claims that have substantially the same limitations as the respective apparatus claims 4-6, 8-10, 12-15, 18-20. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7, 11, 26, and 30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stamatelakis et al (6,404,734), Sharma et al (6,839,514), and Ball (5,583,855).

Art Unit: 2661

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, confact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/22/0*5*

BRIAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control No. O9/910,601 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FALKENSTEIN ET AL. Examiner Brian D. Nguyen Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FALKENSTEIN ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,707,789	03-2004	Arslan et al.	370/218
	В	US-6,697,329	02-2004	McAllister et al.	370/235
	С	US-6,839,514	01-2005	Sharma et al.	398/2
	D	US-6,404,734	06-2002	Stamatelakis et al.	370/227
	Е	US-5,583,855	12-1996	Ball, Peter R.	370/376
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р	,				
	Ю					
	R					
	S					
	Т					· .

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	W	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450

P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



